

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/419,305	10/15/1999	KAZUHIKO MARUTA	. MARUTA=3C	1033
1444 7	7590 06/18/2002			M1 7 model 6
	ND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.		EXAMINER \$	
624 NINTH STREET, NW SUITE 300			PROUTY, RI	EBECCA E
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20001-5303	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•	*** *** }	Ÿ	1652	10>
<i>'</i> ,			DATE MAILED: 06/18/2002	16

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/419,305** 

Applicant(s)

Maryta et al.

Examiner

Rebecca Prouty

Art Unit 1652



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period (	for Reply	
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM
		no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
	date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th	ne statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO p	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
	to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the control o	
	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	
Status 1) 🔀	Decreasive to communication(s) filed on Apr 19, 2	002
2a) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{Apr\ 18,\ 2}$ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) $\square$ This act	
3) ∐	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex par	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposi	tion of Claims	
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1	is/are pending in the application.
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1	is/are rejected.
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	tion Papers	
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office action.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13) 🗆	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) [	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:	• *
	1. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.
	2. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received in Application No
	application from the International Bure	· ·
*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified copies not received.
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
a) 🗆		
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachm	ent(s) .	
1) [ No	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)
_	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) 🔲 Inf	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/419,305

Art Unit: 1652

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4-18-02 has been entered.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the enzyme of SEQ ID NO:1 or enzymes encoded by genes which will hybridize to SEQ ID NO:2 under specific conditions, does not reasonably provide enablement for any enzyme with the claimed properties.

These claims are so broad as to encompass any enzyme with the claimed physicochemical properties which contains two or more contiguous amino acid residues from SEQ ID NO:3 or SEQ ID NO:4, including any naturally occurring enzymes with the claimed properties, fragments thereof which retain enzymatic activity and all functionally equivalent variants of such a naturally occurring enzyme. The scope of the claims is not commensurate with the enablement provided by the disclosure with regard to the extremely large number of enzymes broadly encompassed by the claims. Neither the specification nor the prior art provide any

Art Unit: 1652

guidance regarding additional sources of naturally occurring enzymes with the claimed properties. One of ordinary skill in the art would clearly be aware that enzymes with similar enzymatic activities can be highly diverse and often bear little or no homology to one another. This is particularly true where the enzymes are found within organisms which are evolutionarily highly diverse but is not uncommon even for two enzymes with the same organism or for enzymes encoded within evolutionarily similar organisms. As such one of ordinary skill in the art would be unable to isolate such enzymes and their corresponding genes without undue experimentation to find a suitable source. Furthermore, the specification fails to provide enablement for all variants and fragments of the enzyme of SEQ ID NO: 1. Since the amino acid sequence of a protein determines its structural and functional properties, predictability of which changes can be tolerated in a protein's amino acid sequence and obtain the desired activity requires a knowledge of and guidance with regard to which amino acids in the protein's sequence, if any, are tolerant of modification and which are conserved (i.e. expectedly intolerant to modification), and detailed knowledge of the ways in which the proteins' structure relates to its function. However, in this case the disclosure is limited to the nucleotide

Application/Control Number: 09/419,305

Art Unit: 1652

sequence and the amino acid sequence of a single enzyme with the claimed properties.

While recombinant and mutagenesis techniques are known, it is not routine in the art to screen for multiple substitutions or multiple modifications, as encompassed by the instant claims, and the positions within a protein's sequence where amino acid modifications can be made with a reasonable expectation of success in obtaining the desired activity/utility are limited in any protein and the result of such modifications is unpredictable. In addition, one skilled in the art would expect any tolerance to modification for a given protein to diminish with each further and additional modification, e.g. multiple substitutions.

The specification does not support the broad scope of the claims which encompass any enzyme with the claimed physicochemical properties because the specification does not establish: (A) regions of the protein structure which may be modified without effecting activity; (B) the general tolerance of such enzymes to modification and extent of such tolerance; (C) a rational and predictable scheme for modifying any amino acid residues with an expectation of obtaining the desired biological function; and (D) the specification provides insufficient

Application/Control Number: 09/419,305

Art Unit: 1652

guidance as to which of the essentially infinite possible choices is likely to be successful.

Thus, applicants have <u>not</u> provided sufficient guidance to enable one of ordinary skill in the art to make and use the claimed invention in a manner reasonably correlated with the scope of the claims broadly including any enzyme with the claimed physicochemical properties. The scope of the claims must bear a reasonable correlation with the scope of enablement (<u>In refisher</u>, 166 USPQ 19 24 (CCPA 1970)). Without sufficient guidance, determination of enzymes having the desired biological characteristics is unpredictable and the experimentation left to those skilled in the art is unnecessarily, and improperly, extensive and undue.

All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant

Page 6

Art Unit: 1652

is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rebecca Prouty, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-4000. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapu Achutamurthy, can be reached at (703) 308-3804. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (303) 308-0196.

Rebecca Prouty
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1652